

Attorney Docket 96078-88003

REMARKS

Reconsideration and continued examination is respectfully requested in view of the amendments and the following remarks.

Disposition of Claims.

The claims currently pending in the application are claims 4-28 and 32-36. Claims 1-3 and 29-31 were previously cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer, while new claim 36 has been added.

Summary of Prior Art Rejections.

The Examiner has rejected claims 7-8 and 27 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,738,827 to Abir ("Abir"). In addition, the Examiner has rejected claims 4-6, 9-26, 28, and 32-35 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Abir in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,182,148 to Tout ("Tout").

The Claimed Invention is Novel Over Abir.

The Examiner has rejected claims 7-8 and 27 as being anticipated by Abir.

The Examiner asserts that Abir recites a web page accessing system connecting a client to a specific web page on a network comprising a real name database storing matched Uniform Resource Locator (URL) information and real names in a plurality of web pages and a web server for searching the real name database when an access work input is made by the client including finding the corresponding URL information such that when the corresponding URL information using the real name is not stored in the real

Attorney Docket 98078-88003

name database, searching and collecting various information having the real name from the web pages on the network using the real name as the search word, and providing the information to the client, wherein the client includes a hook module when the client checks an access word input event occurs providing the input access work to the web server.

The Examiner further asserts that the Abir reference teaches a method for connecting a client to a corresponding web page using a database that stores Uniform Resource Locator (URL) information and real names on the web pages on the network comprising the steps of: determining whether an access word input by the client is a real name; searching the database and finding the URL information address; and connecting the client to the corresponding web page according to the URL information corresponding to the real name input by the client, wherein the method further comprises the step of searching and collecting various information including the real name from the web pages on the network using the real name as a search word; and providing the information to the client when the real name input is not stored in the database.

A review of the Abir reference discloses a system that converts a word in English when a user enters the word in a foreign language, reverses the order of the converted word, and searches using the converted word. However, the reference fails to teach or suggest the claim limitation of searches using the real name of an access word input from a client as a search word without first having to convert the

Attorney Docket 98078-88003

real name to English as presently claimed by the Applicants.

In contrast, independent claims 7 and 27 recite a web page accessing system and related method that determines whether the real name of the access word, URL information, or IP address corresponding to the real name is not stored in a real name database and conducts a search corresponding to information using the real name as a search word when the URL information or IP address corresponds to the real name or the real name is not stored in the real name database. The cited prior art does not teach or suggest this limitation.

Based on the foregoing, the Abir reference does not anticipate independent claims 7 and 27, and the Examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw her rejection of these claims and indicate the allowance thereof. Finally, the Examiner is asked to withdraw her rejection of dependent claim 8 by virtue of its respective dependency from independent claim 7.

The Claimed Invention Is Patentable Over Abir In View Of Tout.

The Examiner has rejected claims 4-6, 9-26 and 32-36 as being unpatentable over Abir in view of Tout.

The Examiner contends that the Abir reference teaches a web page accessing system for connecting a client to a specific web page on the network comprising a real name server for searching the real name database using the real name when an access word input from the client is the real

Attorney Docket 98078-88003

name; finding a corresponding IP address and providing the IP address to the client in order for the client to access the web page corresponding to one IP address. Further, the Examiner asserts that Abir discloses a user that can search the web server in his/her own language (real names) and allow the server to translate and locate the web page for the user without using any English language to perform such a search. The Examiner also contends that Abir teaches an access database which stores access information in including the IP address of the client which accesses the real name server, and a web server which connects the client to the corresponding web page according to the URL information corresponding to the real name input by the client whether or not the IP address of the accessing client is stored in the access database, wherein the real name server provides the address the IP address of the web server to the client when another real name corresponds to the IP address corresponding to the real name input by the client.

Although the Examiner admits that Abir fails to teach or suggest the limitation of a database that stores matched Internet Protocol (IP) addresses, Uniform Resource Locator (URL) information and real names on a plurality of web pages, the Examiner concludes that Abir teaches a database for storing matched IP address, URL information and real names on a plurality of web pages.

As noted above, Abir discloses a system that converts a word in English when a user enters the word in a foreign language, reverses the order of the converted word, and searches using the converted word. In addition, Abir only

Attorney Docket 98078-88003

describes a conversion algorithm for transforming a conventional resource into a friendly resource identifier. However, the reference fails to teach or suggest the claim limitation of searches using the real name of an access word input from a client as a search word without first having to convert the real name to English.

A review of the Tout reference discloses a method and system for internationalizing domain names which allows the domain names to be entered in any language without having to modify the existing Internet domain name servers.

Neither Abir nor Tout teach or suggest storing the IP address of the client which accesses a real name server to a database, connecting the client to the corresponding web page based on the real name input by the client whether or not the IP address of the accessing client is stored in the database.

Based on the foregoing, the Abir and Tout references do not anticipate independent claims 4, 9, 16, 18, 25, 28, and 32, and the Examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw her rejection of these claims and indicate the allowance thereof. Finally, the Examiner is asked to withdraw her rejection of dependent claims 5, 6, 8, 10-15, 17, 19-25, and 33-35 by virtue of their respective dependency from independent claims.

New Claim 36 Is Novel In View of the Cited Prior Art.

New claim 36 recites a web page accessing system for connecting a client to a specific web page on a network comprising a real name database storing matched IP

Attorney Docket 98078-88003

addresses or URL information, and real names on a plurality of web pages; a real name server for searching the real name database using the real name, finding a corresponding IP address or URL information, and providing the IP address or URL information to the client; and a web server for searching and collecting various information including the real name from the web pages on the network using the real name as a search word, and providing the information to the client. As noted above, neither the Abir nor the Tout references teach or suggest such a limitation and the Examiner is respectfully requested to indicate the allowance of independent claim 36.

CONCLUSION

By the present response, the Applicants have provided arguments and evidence in support of their position that the claimed invention directed to a web page accessing system and method using real names is patentable over the cited prior art. Based on the foregoing, the application is in a condition for allowance and expeditious notice thereof is earnestly solicited.

Attorney Docket 98078-88003

should the Examiner have any questions, comments or suggestions which would place the application in still better condition for allowance, he is respectfully requested to call the undersigned attorney collect.

Respectfully submitted,



August 3, 2005

Date

Ari M. Bai, Reg. No. 38,726
Attorney for Applicant
CUSTOMER NUMBER: 22807
Greensfelder, Hemker & Gale, PC
10 S. Broadway, Suite 2000
St. Louis, Missouri 63102
314-241-9090 Telephone
314-345-4704 Facsimile